Case 2:17-cv-00579-MWF-AS $\,$ Document 4 $\,$ Filed 01/30/17 $\,$ Page 1 of 3 $\,$ Page ID #:32

O'Akoh's actions violated California Department of Corrections, Title 15, Section 3391(a); and (2) O'Akoh's actions constituted cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth Amendment. (Petition at 4-5).

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

4

"[T]he writ of habeas corpus is limited to attacks upon the legality or duration of confinement." Crawford v. Bell, 599 F.2d 890, 891 (9th Cir. 1979) (citing Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 484-86 (1973)); see also Hill v. McDonough, 547 U.S. 573, 579 (2006) ("'Challenges to the validity of any confinement or to particulars affecting its duration are the province of habeas corpus.'") (citation omitted). Since Petitioner's claims do not attack the legality or duration of his confinement, his claims are not cognizable on federal habeas review. Accordingly, the federal habeas petition filed by Petitioner under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 must be denied.

17 \\\

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\\

26 \\\

27

28

ORDER

2 3

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition be dismissed without

MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

prejudice. 1

DATED: January 30, 2017

It appears that Petitioner is actually claiming a violation of his civil rights. If so, Petitioner may seek to file the appropriate action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court notes that Petitioner has two pending civil rights actions in this Court. See Mulvihill, Shane Matthew v. Dean Borders, Warden, Case No. CV 17-00079-MWF (AS); Mulvihille, Shane Matthew v. Los Angeles County Sheriffs Department, Case No. CV 17-00200-MWF (AS).